

[10191/3637]

**REMARKS**

This Preliminary Amendment cancels without prejudice claims 1 to 15 in the underlying PCT Application No. PCT/DE03/00353, and adds without prejudice new claims 16 to 42. The new claims conform the claims to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office rules and do not add new matter to the application.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.125, the Substitute Specification (including the Abstract, but without the claims) contains no new matter. The amendments reflected in the Substitute Specification (including the Title and Abstract) are to conform the Specification and Abstract to U.S. Patent and Trademark Office rules or to correct informalities. As required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.121 and § 1.125, a Marked Up Version Of The Substitute Specification comparing the Specification of record and the Substitute Specification also accompanies this Preliminary Amendment. In the Marked Up Version, underlining indicates added text and "strike-throughs" and double-brackets indicate deleted text. Approval and entry of the Substitute Specification (including Abstract) is respectfully requested.

The underlying PCT Application No. PCT/DE03/00353 includes an International Search Report, dated June 25, 2003. The Search Report includes a list of documents that were uncovered in the underlying PCT Application. A copy of the Search Report accompanies this Preliminary Amendment.

It is asserted that the subject matter of the present application is new, non-obvious, and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,  
KENYON & KENYON

Dated: 9/30/2004

By: Richard L. Mayer

Richard L. Mayer  
Reg. No. 22,490

One Broadway  
New York, NY 10004  
(212) 425-7200  
(212) 425-5288

**CUSTOMER NO. 26646**

OFF-ROAD DETECTION FOR IMPROVING TIRE PRESSURE LOSS DETECTION

~~Background Information~~

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

~~The~~ The present invention relates to a method and a device for monitoring the tire condition of a vehicle ~~having the features of the independent claims.~~

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Systems for detecting a tire condition are known from ~~the related art~~ other systems. Besides the direct determination of the air pressure of a tire, rotational speeds of the wheels can may be used to determine changes in tire pressure.

Changes in the rotational speeds of individual wheels ~~can may~~ be determined and used for indicating the change in the condition of the tires. Systems that indicate the tire condition under certain operational conditions (unbraked, unaccelerated straight-ahead driving) are ~~introduced in DE 36 10 116 A and DE~~ discussed in German Published Patent Application No. 36 10 116 and German Patent No. 32 36 520 E. Also ~~proposed~~ discussed in these documents is normalization of the rotational speeds to the ~~particular~~ vehicle speed.

Using differences between the rotational speeds of individual wheels for recognizing tire condition is ~~known from EP 0 291 217 B1.~~ discussed in European Patent No. 0 291 217.

~~DE 199 44 391 A1 describes~~ German Published Patent Application No. 199 44 391 discusses the adaptation of a calibration value used for monitoring tire pressure. Here, recalibration of the

tire pressure system is performed based on a changed operational condition of the wheel, the old value being overwritten.

5 ~~Advantages of the Invention~~

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

~~As mentioned above, the invention describes~~ The present invention provides a method and a device for monitoring the tire condition of a vehicle, the tire pressure on the wheels of the vehicle, in particular, being monitored. The core of the present invention lies in the fact that monitoring of the tire condition depends on the condition of the surface on which the vehicle is traveling. According to the present invention, this results in an improved pressure loss display based on the transmission of force between the vehicle wheels and the road surface which changes to a lesser or higher degree when traveling under constantly changing road friction values on the wheels.

20 One exemplary embodiment provides for the monitoring to ~~take place occur~~ in at least two different, independent monitoring modes depending on the driving surface. The different monitoring modes differ from one another in that each uses a separate calibration data set as a reference data set.

25 One exemplary embodiment ~~feature~~ of the present invention relates to the determination of the condition of the driving surface using a signal representing the transmission of force between the wheels of the vehicle and the driving surface. In particular, this signal involves the transmission of force between the wheels of the vehicle and the driving surface to ~~take place occur~~ via time averaging in order to equalize short-term disturbances or short-term changes in the condition of the driving surface.

35

Another exemplary embodiment ~~feature~~ of the present invention relates to the determination of the different calibration data sets that are used as reference data sets for monitoring the tire condition. The calibration data sets are determined here as a function of a signal representing the transmission of force between the wheels of the vehicle and the driving surface, and/or a command initiated by the driver of the vehicle. In particular, the signal may be generated by a system outside of the actual monitoring device according to the present invention. ~~It may also be possible for the~~ The driver to may start the initialization of the ~~particular~~ applicable calibration data set by manually actuating a switch, for instance.

For the purpose of monitoring the tire condition, one exemplary embodiment of the present invention compares the wheel dynamics variable representing the wheel dynamics with one another at different points in time. In particular, the wheel dynamics variable is to be represented by the wheel rotational speed and thus by the rotational speed of the wheels. For this reason, the wheel rotational speeds are determined at regular intervals for determining the velocity of the wheels.

In one exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the wheel dynamics variable representing the tire condition is now to be determined by forming a difference between the wheel rotational speeds of at least two wheels. In particular, the differences between the rotational speeds of the wheels on one axle and/or of diagonally ~~situated~~ arranged wheels are to be formed. In another exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the wheel rotational speeds on one axle may initially be added up.

In a further exemplary embodiment of the present invention,

the difference between the sum of the wheel rotational speeds of the wheels on the front axle and the sum of the wheel rotational speeds of the wheels on the rear axle may be formed. The resulting difference is subsequently normalized to the vehicle speed. In another, comparable difference-forming method, first the sum of the wheel rotational speeds of the wheels on the right side is formed, and the sum of the wheel rotational speeds of the wheels on the left side is subtracted from the former. The resulting difference may then also be normalized to the vehicle speed.

The difference is formed by forming the wheel rotational speed differences between the front and the rear wheels, as well as between the wheels on the right and the left side, normalized in each case to the vehicle speed.

In a further exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the calibration data sets are determined and stored on the basis of the calculated differences between the wheel rotational speeds, as a function of the condition of the driving surface or the associated transmission of force between the wheels of the vehicle and the driving surface and/or a command initiated by the driver of the vehicle. In particular, the driver may initiate the determination and storage of the calibration data set - by manually actuating a switch for example - if he detects, for instance, that he is about to drive off-road.

~~A particularly advantageous refinement of the~~ The present invention ~~is~~ provides for a method and a device for monitoring the tire condition, where the currently calculated wheel rotational speed differences are compared with the applicable, driving surface-dependent calibration data set. Should the current wheel rotational speed differences lie outside of a predefined range in relation to the applicable calibration

data set, the monitor detects a malfunction. If a malfunction occurs, the driver of the vehicle may be informed of the change in the tire condition, in particular via an optical or acoustic display.

5

In another ~~advantageous~~ exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the occurrence of a malfunction is used to modify a brake system in the vehicle in such a ~~way~~ manner that critical driving conditions are avoided and at least the tire is  
10 damaged to a lesser degree. The functions of other vehicle systems, as well, are modifiable in response to a malfunction. For instance, in the event of a detected malfunction such as low tire pressure, the speed of the vehicle may be restricted.

15 In ~~one particular variant~~ an exemplary embodiment of the present invention, the tire condition is to be monitored via the air pressure in a tire and/or the wear condition of a tire.

20 ~~Another advantage of the present invention explained in the main claim and the subclaims lies in the fact that the method or the device is suited in particular for use in an all-wheel drive vehicle, since all-wheel drive vehicles are regularly used for driving on surfaces of varying conditions.~~

25

~~Additional advantageous embodiments of the inventions are found in the subclaims.~~

Drawing

30

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 shows a schematic view of the recording of the performance variables for calibrating and monitoring the tire condition of the vehicle, as well as the relaying of the  
35 malfunction information.

Figure 2 shows, in the form of a flow chart, the initialization of the system and the storing of the calibration data sets for the two monitoring modes .

5 ~~The flow chart in Figure 3 shows a preferred,~~ in the form of a flow chart, a monitoring of the tire condition in the two monitoring modes.

#### ~~Description of the Exemplary Embodiment~~

##### 10 DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Figure 1 shows an exemplary embodiment for monitoring the tire condition of a vehicle, to be understood, in particular, as the monitoring of the tire pressure based on the measured speeds of the vehicle wheels. Block 10 contains monitoring  
15 unit 20 and memory 50.

Monitoring unit 20 receives speed signals representing the wheel velocities of the vehicle wheels. In order to simplify the layout, Figure 1 shows only the speed signals of the left  
20 wheel  $v_{FL}$  (22) and the right wheel  $v_{FR}$  (24) on the front axle and the left wheel  $v_{RL}$  (26) and the right wheel  $v_{RR}$  (28) on the rear axle. An extension to several axles, as well as additional wheels per axle, is easy, however. In addition to the speed signals of the wheels, the overall speed of the vehicle is  
25 read in via speed signal  $v_{car}$  (30). Furthermore, in block 20, the state of an initialization is interrogated via an  $F_i$  flag (40) and the state of the condition of the driving surface is interrogated via an  $F_{off}$  flag (45), the set  $F_i$  flag = 1 corresponding to the request for performing an initialization  
30 of the system for example by the driver of the vehicle. However, ~~it may also be possible to determine~~ the condition of the driving surface may be determined independently of the driver, and ~~to set~~ the  $F_i$  flag may be set as a function of the driving surface condition thus determined.

35

The condition of the driving surface plays an important role in the transmission of force between the wheels of the vehicle and the driving surface. When driving on a road such as a paved surface having high and uniform transmission of force between the wheels of the vehicle and the driving surface, the transmission of force has a normal value under these "normal conditions." Should the transmission of force decrease in relation to the normal value - such as during off-road driving - below a threshold value, the  $F_{\text{off}}$  flag = 1 is set by an external system ~~situated~~ arranged outside block 10. ~~It may also be possible for the~~ The driver of the vehicle to may set the flag manually. A set  $F_{\text{off}}$  flag corresponds to driving under off-road conditions distinct from driving under "normal conditions."

The calibration data sets generated after initialization may be stored in block 50 as reference values for monitoring the tire condition.

Should a malfunction of the tire condition be detected in block 20, this information may be relayed to the driver either acoustically or optically via an appropriate display (90). In addition, the malfunction of the tire condition is also usable for intervening in the vehicle dynamics such as in an ESP-system (80) for improving the driving stability.

Figure 2 shows an exemplary embodiment of the initialization of the system for monitoring the tire condition, and the tire pressure in particular. In step 100, flag  $F_i$  is interrogated at regular intervals. If a set flag  $F_i$  is detected, the initialization of the system is started via the generation of a calibration data set. Otherwise the program is terminated until the next start. In step 110, the speed signals  $v_{FL}$ ,  $v_{FR}$ ,  $v_{RL}$ ,  $v_{RR}$  of the individual wheels are read in, as well as the vehicle speed via  $v_{\text{car}}$ . For instance, the vehicle speed is



determinable from the averaged wheel rotational speeds in a generally known manner. The differences in the wheel speeds are formed via these speed signals.

5 In this exemplary embodiment, the wheel velocity differences are formed by forming the difference between the sum of the wheel rotational speeds of the wheels on the front axle and the sum of the wheel rotational speeds of the wheels on the rear axle, normalized to the vehicle speed according to

$$\Delta v_A := \{ (v_{FL} + v_{FR}) - (v_{RL} + v_{RR}) \} / v_{car}$$

10 The difference may also be formed by deducting the sum of the wheel rotational speeds of the wheels on the left side from the sum of the wheel rotational speeds of the wheels on the right side. The resulting difference may then also be  
15 normalized to the vehicle speed according to

$$\Delta v_D := \{ (v_{FL} + v_{RR}) - (v_{FR} + v_{RL}) \} / v_{car}$$

20 Furthermore, any other method of forming the difference between the wheel velocities is conceivable.

If the system detects - via set flag  $F_{off}$  in step 130 -  
25 that the vehicle is traveling with reduced transmission of force between the vehicle wheels and the driving surface, the determined differences in wheel speeds are stored as calibration data set II (150) in monitoring mode II. If the vehicle is traveling under "normal conditions," i.e., flag  $F_{off}$   
30 is not set, the determined differences in wheel velocities are stored as calibration data set I (140) in monitoring mode I.

Figure 3 shows an exemplary embodiment of the detection of a malfunction in monitoring the tire condition, in particular  
35 the tire pressure of a vehicle. The sketched program is

started at predefined cycles throughout the entire operation. The flow chart ~~essentially~~ compares the actually determined instantaneous differences in wheel velocities with the calibration data sets in the two monitoring modes.

5

In step 200, speed signals  $v_{FL}$ ,  $v_{FR}$ ,  $v_{RL}$ ,  $v_{RR}$  and  $v_{car}$  are read in. Using these speed signals, the differences in wheel velocities are formed in step 210 according to step 120 in Figure 2. If the system detects that the vehicle is traveling with reduced transmission of force between the wheels of the vehicle and the driving surface via set flag  $F_{off}$  in step 220, it compares the differences in wheel velocities determined in step 210 with calibration data set II in step 270. Should the deviation of the two values exceed a predefinable amount, a malfunction, in particular a tire pressure loss, is detected in step 280 and brought to the attention of the driver via an acoustical or optical display (90). If the deviation lies within the predefined limits, the program is terminated and restarted during the next cycle.

20

If the system detects a transmission of force between the wheels of the vehicle and the driving surface under "normal conditions," via unset flag  $F_{off}$  in step 220, it compares the differences in wheel velocities determined in step 210 with calibration data set I in step 240. Should the deviation of the two values exceed a predefinable amount, a malfunction, in particular a tire pressure loss, is detected in step 250 and brought to the attention of the driver via an acoustical or optical display (90). If the deviation lies within the predefined limits, the program is terminated and restarted during the next cycle.

30

~~Abstract~~

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A method and a device for monitoring a tire condition of a vehicle ~~are described,~~ provide for a malfunction being  
5 detected as a function of the condition of the surface on which the vehicle is traveling, the detection ~~taking place~~ occurs in at least two different, independent monitoring modes. Each monitoring mode is assigned a calibration data set based on a wheel dynamics variable representing the tire  
10 condition. Monitoring occurs by comparing the current wheel dynamics variable with the relevant calibration data set. Should a malfunction be detected during monitoring of the tire condition, the driver is informed thereof.

15 ~~Figure 3~~